From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)

Kerman, Sara J. (Fed); Regenscheid, Andrew R. (Fed) To:

Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed)

Subject: Re: PQC Website Menu Items

Date: Friday, December 9, 2016 10:14:50 AM

## Sara.

I'm okay with either way. I think you are right that it might be nice to have Public Comments available from the main menu. So that sounds good to me to go ahead and do that. Thanks

#### Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)

**Sent:** Thursday, December 8, 2016 2:56:02 PM

**To:** Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed); Moody, Dustin (Fed)

**Cc:** Chen, Lily (Fed)

**Subject:** PQC Website Menu Items

Dustin/Andy

I've gotten most the pages updated. The last thing to do is update the menu items on all the pages (see menu below). My question is about the old RFC link since we want it to be easy for people to find the public comments. Dustin and I talked about adding the information to the documents section (http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/post-quantum-crypto/documents.html). However, I also thought we could leave the link and add "and Public Comments" to the menu item. Or possibly move this item to the end of the list (with a smaller font or keep the same size?)

Please let me know your thoughts on this and any other menu items so that I can update each page with the full list of menu items. It's a bit time consuming on the current system we use so I'd like to have any known changes incorporated. Thanks!

# **Post-Quantum Cryptography Project**

Documents

**Workshops / Timeline** 

**Federal Register Notices** 

**Email Listserve** 

**PQC Project Contact** 

# Archive Information - new

currently includes FAQs that have been archived and an old version of the API Notes file

# **Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization**

RFC on Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria "and Public Comments" —

announcement from Aug 2016

(added links to public comments and summary of comments to the bottom – see attached screen shot)

## Call for Proposals Announcement - new

**Call for Proposals** 

**Submission Requirements** 

**Minimum Acceptability Requirements** 

**Evaluation Criteria** 

**Evaluation Process** 

**Example Files** 

**RFC on Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria "and Public Comments"** - possibly move first menu item to here?

#### **FAQs**

**From:** Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)

Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2016 12:09 PM

To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>

**Cc:** Chen, Lily (Fed) < lily.chen@nist.gov>

Subject: Re: PQC Final CFP - Remove "Proposed"?

Yeah... "Proposed" should be removed.

-Andy

From: "Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)" < sara.kerman@nist.gov>

Date: Thursday, December 8, 2016 at 12:07 PM

**To:** "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" < <a href="mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov">dustin.moody@nist.gov</a>>

**Cc:** Andrew Regenscheid <a href="mailto:andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov">andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov">, Lily Chen <a href="mailto:lily.chen@nist.gov">lily.chen@nist.gov</a>>,

**Subject:** PQC Final CFP - Remove "Proposed"?

Quick question on the **final** CFP. All the headings still say "Proposed". Was that only meant for the draft CFP?

i.e.

- 2. Proposed Requirements for the Submission Packages
- 3. Proposed Minimum Acceptability Requirements
- 4. Proposed Evaluation Criteria

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)

**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2016 8:51 AM **To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) < <u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: PQC FRN is almost ready

Will do.

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)

Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 8:50:17 AM

**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)

**Subject:** RE: PQC FRN is almost ready

Thanks. I will start updating the CFP data today. The API and FAQ are the same version numbers as the last ones you sent (except FAQ you sent me a 2.4.1.Ray version that had tracked changes). If you make changes to the CFP between now and posting, please track changes so I can change only the pages that are necessary. Ditto for future FAQ updates. 3

Sara

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)

**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2016 8:38 AM **To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) < <u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>

**Subject:** Re: PQC FRN is almost ready

Sara,

There is a newer version (it is attached). I also included the latest versions for the FAQ, and the API. I don't think there were changes since I sent them to you last time. I also attached a CFP announcement, which has the text we can use to announce the release.

Thanks!! Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)

**Sent:** Thursday, December 8, 2016 7:41:26 AM

**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)

**Subject:** RE: PQC FRN is almost ready

Dustin,

I was going to start replacing the online CFP text (which is spread out over 10+ html pages.) Is there a newer version I should be using to do this? The last version I have is v4.5.

Thanks, Sara

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 11:00 AM **To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <<u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>

**Cc:** Chen, Lily (Fed) < <u>lily.chen@nist.gov</u>>; Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)

<andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>
Subject: PQC FRN is almost ready

Sara,

Vickie told me yesterday the FRN package was with Melissa. Hopefully that means it won't take too long until it is posted. Just wanted to give you a heads up and check if you'll be able to post the various documents we have to our website for when it is published. I'm attaching the latest versions of all of them, although the CFP and FAQ will likely have a few small changes which I'll forward to you as soon as I have them. Thanks!

Dustin

- One of the attached files is the "Comments to post unformatted" doc, which has all the public comments we received. I seem to remember we had a formatted version? Do you have that? Because I'm not seeing it in my files.